

AUTOPSIES & CDT EVIDENCE

AUTOPSY 1 EVIDENCE

- * He was not taking Prozac at the time.
 - * Also found in stomach and urine.
 - * The urine bottle still had its seal intact in the Sept. 8th photo.
 - ** Could Explain the different label seen by Professor Shepherd.
 - ** Nouvion reported 'Henri PAUL' and 'FD'.
 - *** Delivered to Professor Green Oct. 23rd, 2006.
 - **** The '1' was added with the new label.
- *** In a March 29, 2007 hearing, Pepin stated that the blood given to Paget was from 31/08/1997.
- ***** The samples in the box were collected on September 4th - before the 2nd autopsy. However, the plastic box of samples, including blood with 2147 on lid, was at TOXLAB (Sealed Exhibit 8) on November 23rd 2005, when Pepin replied to DS Easton's fax. In a 2006 interview with Paget, Pepin stated that the blood samples in the box HAD been tested.

Pepin said, "Two blood samples would be taken, one for an initial analysis and one for a counter analysis by another expert if required. This would be done by external puncture rather than at post mortem examination. Usually two sets of samples would be taken." Pepin maintained that Ricordel personally collected his sample within minutes of it being collected and started analysis 20 minutes later.

PEPIN STATEMENT 20 January 2006 meeting at Brigade Criminelle: The blood used for DNA testing was taken on 31/08/1997. It had already been analysed, the first for Alcohol (XM1), the second for full toxicological plus again for alcohol (XM2). This statement is true. Professor Forrest attended this meeting. At a meeting with Forrest & Dr. Deveaux, May 18 2006, Pepin stated that an expert seal was not needed in this case. Forrest said, "I would be an advocate for their laboratory and the work carried out there during the Inquest and I would have to explain to the Court in considerable detail the procedures they had carried out, the results they had obtained and the conclusion that could fairly be drawn from those results."

Pepin made it very plain that he felt that the samples would not be released for further toxicological analyses under any circumstances.

Although the 6th Report was not seen, carboxyhaemoglobin was present in this analysis. "No sample used for toxicological testing was used for DNA tests."
The 'XM' samples were all used up and destroyed in 1998 anyway.

At the inquest, MacLeod, when questioning Professor Allan Jamieson, stated that XM2 was also tested for Carbon Monoxide on Sept. 9th, 1997, and that this sample was DNA tested. Not only did MacLeod make untrue statements, he asked questions on a subject that Jamieson had no direct knowledge.